

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

6.243j (Fall 2003): DYNAMICS OF NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

by A. Megretski

Take-Home Test 2 Solutions¹

Problem T2.1

SYSTEM OF ODE EQUATIONS

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + B\phi(Cx(t) + \cos(t)), \quad (1.1)$$

WHERE A, B, C ARE CONSTANT MATRICES SUCH THAT $CB = 0$, AND $\phi : \mathbf{R}^k \mapsto \mathbf{R}^q$ IS CONTINUOUSLY DIFFERENTIABLE, IS KNOWN TO HAVE A LOCALLY ASYMPTOTICALLY STABLE NON-EQUILIBRIUM PERIODIC SOLUTION $x = x(t)$. WHAT CAN BE SAID ABOUT $\text{trace}(A)$? IN OTHER WORDS, FIND THE SET Λ OF ALL REAL NUMBERS λ SUCH THAT $\lambda = \text{trace}(A)$ FOR SOME A, B, C, ϕ SUCH THAT (1.1) HAS A LOCALLY ASYMPTOTICALLY STABLE NON-EQUILIBRIUM PERIODIC SOLUTION $x = x(t)$.

Answer: $\text{trace}(A) < 0$.

Let $x_0(t)$ be the periodic solution. Linearization of (1.1) around $x_0(\cdot)$ yields

$$\dot{\delta}(t) = A\delta(t) + Bh(t)C\delta(t),$$

where $h(t)$ is the Jacobian of ϕ at $x_0(t)$, and

$$x(t) = x_0(t) + \delta(t) + o(|\delta(t)|).$$

Partial information about local stability of $x_0(\cdot)$ is given by the evolution matrix $M(T)$, where $T > 0$ is the period of $x_0(\cdot)$: if the periodic solution is asymptotically stable then all eigenvalues of $M(T)$ have absolute value not larger than one. Here

$$\dot{M}(t) = (A + Bh(t)C)M(t), \quad M(0) = I,$$

¹Version of November 25, 2003

and hence

$$\det M(T) = \exp \left(\int_0^T \text{trace}(A + Bh(t)C) dt \right).$$

Since

$$\text{trace}(A + Bh(t)C) = \text{trace}(A + CBh(t)) = \text{trace}(A),$$

$\det(M(T)) > 1$ whenever $\text{trace}(A) > 0$. Hence $\text{trace}(A) \leq 0$ is a necessary condition for local asymptotic stability of $x_0(\cdot)$.

Since system (1.1) with $k = q = 1$, $\phi(y) \equiv y$,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [0 \quad 1]$$

has periodic stable steady state solution

$$x_0(t) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 + a^2)^{-1} \cos(t) + a(1 + a^2)^{-1} \sin(t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $a > 0$, the trace of A can take every negative value. Thus, to complete the solution, one has to figure out whether trace of A can take the zero value.

It appears that the volume contraction techniques are better suited for solving the question completely. Indeed, consider the autonomous ODE

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1(t) = & z_2(t), \\ \dot{z}_2(t) = & -z_1(t), \\ \dot{z}_3(t) = & Az_3(t) + B\phi \left(Cz_3(t) + \frac{z_1(t)}{\sqrt{z_1(t)^2 + z_2(t)^2}} \right), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

defined for $z_1^2 + z_2^2 \neq 0$. If (1.1) has an asymptotically stable periodic solution $x_0 = x_0(t)$ then, for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, solutions of (1.2) with

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} z_1(0) \\ z_2(0) \\ z_3(0) \end{bmatrix} - \bar{z} \right\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \bar{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ x_0(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

small enough satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z_3(t) - x_0(t + \tau) = 0,$$

where $\tau \approx 0$ is defined by $z_2(-\tau) = 0$. In particular, the Euclidean volume of the image of the the ball of radius ϵ centered at \bar{z} under the differential flow defined by (1.2) converges to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since the volume is non-increasing when $\text{trace}(A) \geq 0$, we conclude that $\text{trace}(A) < 0$.

Problem T2.2

FUNCTION $g_1 : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{R}^3$ IS DEFINED BY

$$g_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) FIND A CONTINUOUSLY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTION $g_2 : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{R}^3$ SUCH THAT THE DRIFTLESS SYSTEM

$$\dot{x}(t) = g_1(x(t))u_1(t) + g_2(x(t))u_2(t) \quad (1.3)$$

IS COMPLETELY CONTROLLABLE ON \mathbf{R}^3 .

For

$$g_2(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \text{const},$$

we have

$$g_3 = [g_1, g_2] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $g_1(x), g_2, g_3$ form a basis in \mathbf{R}^3 for all x , the resulting system (1.3) is completely controllable on \mathbf{R}^3 .

- (b) FIND CONTINUOUSLY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS $g_2 : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{R}^3$ AND $h : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ SUCH THAT $\nabla h(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ FOR ALL $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ AND $h(x(t))$ IS CONSTANT ON ALL SOLUTIONS OF (1.3). (NOTE: FUNCTION g_2 IN (B) DOES NOT HAVE TO BE (AND CANNOT BE) THE SAME AS g_2 IN (A).)

For example,

$$g_2(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{const}, \quad h \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = x_3.$$

- (c) FIND A CONTINUOUSLY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTION $g_2 : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{R}^3$ SUCH THAT THE DRIFTLESS SYSTEM (1.3) IS NOT COMPLETELY CONTROLLABLE ON \mathbf{R}^3 , BUT, ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE EXISTS NO CONTINUOUSLY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTION $h : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ SUCH THAT $\nabla h(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ FOR ALL $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ AND $h(x(t))$ IS CONSTANT ON ALL SOLUTIONS OF (1.3).

For

$$g_2(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$g_3 = [g_2, g_1] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence $g_1(x), g_2, g_3$ form a basis in \mathbf{R}^3 whenever $x_3 \neq 0$. This contradicts the condition that $\nabla h(x)$ must be non-zero and orthogonal to $g_1(x), g_2$ (and hence to g_3) for all x .

Problem T2.3

AN ODE CONTROL SYSTEM MODEL IS GIVEN BY EQUATIONS

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_2(t)^2 + u(t), \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = x_3(t)^2 + u(t), \\ \dot{x}_3(t) = p(x_1(t)) + u(t). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

- (a) FIND ALL POLYNOMIALS $p : \mathbf{R} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ SUCH THAT SYSTEM (1.4) IS FULL STATE FEEDBACK LINEARIZABLE IN A NEIGHBORHOOD OF $\bar{x} = 0$.

System (1.4) has the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) + g(x(t))u(t), \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$f \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2^2 \\ x_3^2 \\ p(x_1) \end{bmatrix}, \quad g \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$g_1 = g, \quad g_2 = [f, g_1], \quad g_3 = [f, g_2], \quad g_{21} = [g_2, g_1],$$

i.e.

$$g_2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_2 \\ 2x_3 \\ \dot{p}(x_1) \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_3 \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 4x_2x_3 - 2x_3^2 \\ 2x_3\dot{p}(x_1) - 2p(x_1) \\ 2x_2\dot{p}(x_1) - \ddot{p}(x_1)x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_{21} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ \ddot{p}(x_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

For local full state feedback linearizability at $x = 0$ it is necessary and sufficient for vectors $g_1(0), g_2(0), g_3(0)$ to be linearly independent (which is equivalent to $p(0)\dot{p}(0) \neq 0$) and for $g_{21}(x)$ to be a linear combination of $g_1(x)$ and $g_2(x)$ for all x in a neighborhood of $x = 0$ (which is equivalent to $\ddot{p}(x_1) \equiv 2$). Hence

$$p(x_1) = x_1^2 + p_1x_1 + p_0, \quad p_0p_1 \neq 0$$

is necessary and sufficient for local full state feedback linearizability at $x = 0$.

(b) FOR EACH POLYNOMIAL p FOUND IN (A), DESIGN A FEEDBACK LAW

$$u(t) = K(x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t)) = K_p(x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))$$

WHICH MAKES THE ORIGIN A LOCALLY ASYMPTOTICALLY STABLE EQUILIBRIUM OF (1.4).

Since $p(0) \neq 0$, $x = 0$ cannot be made into a locally asymptotically stable equilibrium of (1.4). However, the origin $z = 0$ (i.e. with respect to the new coordinates $z = \psi(x)$) of the feedback linearized system can be made locally asymptotically stable, as long as $0 \in \psi(\Omega)$ where Ω is the domain of ψ . Actually, this does not require any knowledge of the coordinate transform ψ , and can be done under an assumption substantially weaker than full state feedback linearizability!

Let

$$\dot{z}(t) = Az(t) + Bv(t) \tag{1.6}$$

be the feedback linearized equations (1.5), where

$$z(t) = \psi(x(t)), \quad x(t) \in \Omega, \quad v(t) = \alpha(x(t))(u - \beta(x(t))).$$

In other words, let

$$f(x) = [\dot{\psi}(x)]^{-1}[A\psi(x) - B\alpha(x)\beta(x)], \quad g(x) = [\dot{\psi}(x)]^{-1}B\alpha(x).$$

If $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ satisfies $\psi(\bar{x}) = 0$ then \bar{x} is a conditional equilibrium of (1.5), in the sense that

$$f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x})\bar{u} = 0$$

for $\bar{u} = \beta(\bar{x})$. Moreover, since the pair (A, B) is assumed to be controllable, the conditional equilibrium has a controllable linearization, in the sense that the pair $(f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x})\bar{u}, g(\bar{x}))$ is controllable as well, because

$$\dot{f}(\bar{x}) + \dot{g}(\bar{x})\bar{u} = S^{-1}(AS - BF), \quad g(\bar{x}) = S^{-1}B\alpha(\bar{x})$$

for

$$S = \dot{\psi}(\bar{x}), \quad F = \alpha(\bar{x})\dot{\beta}(\bar{x}).$$

It is easy to see that *every* conditional equilibrium \bar{x} of (1.5) with a controllable linearization can be made into a locally exponentially stable equilibrium by introducing feedback control

$$u(t) = \bar{u} + K(x(t) - \bar{x}),$$

where K is a constant gain matrix such that

$$\dot{f}(\bar{x}) + \dot{g}(\bar{x})\bar{u} + g(\bar{x})K$$

is a Hurwitz matrix. Indeed, by assumption \bar{x} is an equilibrium of

$$\dot{x}(t) = f_K(x) = f(x(t)) + g(x(t))(\bar{u} + K(x(t) - \bar{x})),$$

and

$$\dot{f}_K(\bar{x}) = \dot{f}(\bar{x}) + \dot{g}(\bar{x})\bar{u} + g(\bar{x})K.$$

In the case of system (1.4) let

$$\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_1 \\ \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a conditional equilibrium, i.e.

$$\bar{x}_1^2 = \bar{x}_2^2 = p(\bar{x}_1) = -\bar{u}.$$

Then

$$\dot{f}(\bar{x}) + \dot{g}(\bar{x})\bar{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{x}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\bar{x}_2 \\ \dot{p}(\bar{x}_1) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g(\bar{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence a locally stabilizing controller is given by

$$u(t) = -\bar{x}_1^2 + k_1(x_1(t) - \bar{x}_1) + k_2(x_2(t) - \bar{x}_2) + k_3(x_3(t) - \bar{x}_3),$$

where the coefficients k_1, k_2, k_3 are chosen in such a way that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{x}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\bar{x}_2 \\ \dot{p}(\bar{x}_1) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} [k_1 \quad k_2 \quad k_3]$$

is a Hurwitz matrix.

- (c) FIND A C^∞ FUNCTION $p: \mathbf{R} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ FOR WHICH SYSTEM (1.4) IS GLOBALLY FULL STATE FEEDBACK LINEARIZABLE, OR PROVE THAT SUCH $p(\cdot)$ DOES NOT EXIST.

Such $p(\cdot)$ does not exist. Indeed, otherwise vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2x_2 \\ 2x_3 \\ \dot{p}(x_1) \end{bmatrix}$$

are linearly independent for all real $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3$, which is impossible for

$$\bar{x}_2 = \bar{x}_3 = 0.5\dot{p}(\bar{x}_1).$$